

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PLICATION N	O. F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/789,532		02/27/2004	Yue Wu	030489	9469
23696	7590	06/01/2005		EXAM	INER
	m Incorpor	rated	KINKEAD, ARNOLD M		
Patents Department 5775 Morehouse Drive				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
San Diego, CA 92121-1714				2817	
				DATE MAILED: 06/01/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary	10/789,532	WU, YUE					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Arnold M. Kinkead	2817					
The MAILING DATE of this commun Period for Reply	ication appears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comm  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum state  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply Any reply received by the Office later than three months a earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ICATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a renunication. 0) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty atutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT will, by statute, cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely.  HS from the mailing date of this communication.					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on .						
	2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practi	ce under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the a	application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13 and 16</u> is/are rejected	Claim(s) <u>1-13 and 16</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>14 and 15</u> is/are objected to	0.	•					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the	e Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>06-22-04</u> is/a	re: a)∏ accepted or b)⊠ objected t	o by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any obje-	ction to the drawing(s) be held in abeyand	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
	the correction is required if the drawing(	•					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul><li>2. Certified copies of the priority</li><li>3. Copies of the certified copies</li></ul>	documents have been received. documents have been received in Apof the priority documents have been and Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage					
See the attached detailed Office action	in ion a list of the certified copies flot f	eceiveu.					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or Paper No(s)/Mail Date	PTO-948) Paper No(s)	formal Patent Application (PTD-152)					

**DETAILED ACTION** 

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to because in figure 6, the connections are not shown. Corrected drawing sheets

in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application.

Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version

of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should

not be labeled as " amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from

the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes

made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may

be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of

an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to

37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any

required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Objections

1. Claims 2 and 10 are objected to because of the following informalities: In the claims, the recitation for 'a

first node coupled to the tuning voltage 'should read—a first node coupled to the bias voltage-; and 'a second

node coupled to the bias voltage' should read—a second node coupled to the tuning voltage-. Appropriate

correction is required.

Application/Control Number: 10/789,532 Page 3

Art Unit: 2817

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the

rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public

use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United

States.

2. Claims 1,2,3,5,6,7,9,10,11,13, and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by

Friedman et al(US 6,292,065)

The reference by Friedman et al discloses a differential tunable VCO that is implemented in PLL systems with

inherent divider, filter and phase detector. Figure 3 shows inductances(338,340), first and second varactor

pairs(326,328; 322,324) arranged with the inductances to generate a output signals; a tuning voltage input(Vcon,

318,320) is shown, as well as independent/separate bias voltage inputs(366,368, 362,364) for each varactor with

respective resistances. Note MOSCAP are used(MOSFETs configures as varactor elements) with gate, drain and

source; the source connected to the drain and the first node of the varactor comprising the gate and the second node

comprising the drain and source connection. The gate node is biased and the source/drain node is controlled by a

tuning input(318,320). A constant input current source is shown(350) coupled to a pair of cross coupled

Application/Control Number: 10/789,532

Art Unit: 2817

transistors(346,348). Capacitors are shown coupled between the inductors(338,340) and

varactors(326,328,322,324).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Page 4

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 4,8, and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Friedman et al. as applied to claims 1,2,3,5,6,7,9,10,11,13 and 16 above, and further in view of Kwek et al(US 6,774,736) and Chang(US 6,885,275).

The reference by Friedman et al discloses a differential tunable VCO that is implemented in PLL systems with inherent divider, filter and phase detector. Figure 3 shows inductances(338,340),first and second varactor pairs(326,328; 322,324) arranged with the inductances to generate a output signals; a tuning voltage input(Vcon, 318,320) is shown, as well as independent/separate bias voltage inputs(366,368, 362,364) for each varactor with respective resistances. Note MOSCAP are used(MOSFETs configures as varactor elements) with gate, drain and source; the source connected to the drain and the first node of the varactor comprising the gate and the second node

comprising the drain and source connection. The gate node is biased and the source/drain node is controlled by a tuning input(318,320). A constant input current source is shown(350) coupled to a pair of cross coupled transistors(346,348). Capacitors are shown coupled between the inductors(338,340) and varactors(326,328,322,324).

The reference by Friedman et al does not show conventional resistor elements on the tuning voltage inputs nor does it suggest a differential to single ended convereter for the output. With regards the resistance on the input tuning voltage, the reference by Kwek et al discloses a tunable oscillator that is used in a PLL, see figure 1 for PLL with conventional divider(120), detector(105), LPF(110), and VCO(115); the VCO, see figure 2, includes inductances(225), first and second varactor pairs(240) arranged with the inductances to generate a signal(Vn+, Vn-); a tuning voltage input(Vcon) is shown, as well as bias voltage inputs(Vref) for each varactor. Note the resistor 246B that is relied upon for noise dampening, for example, see col. 4 last para.

The reference by Chang is being relied on to highlight the user of a differential to single ended output, see col 27, lines 5-10, noting that the choice for single ended output is conventional and depends on the input requirements of any downstream circuit circuits such as a divider for example, in the PLL loop.

In light of the above it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to have recognized that the system of Friedman et al would be enhanced by using a resistor on the control input for noise dampening as suggested by Kwek et al and also, a single ended output may be required depending on the input requirements of downstream elements as suggested by Chang. This allows for the differential structure VCO with a correct output signal as required by the system designer.

Art Unit: 2817

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 14 and 15 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if

rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed

to Arnold M. Kinkead whose telephone number is 571-272-1763. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri,

8:30 am -5 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Pascal

can be reached on 571-272-1769. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is

assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information

Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or

Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more

information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the

Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Arnold M Kinkead

**Primary Examiner** 

Art Unit 2817

Arnold Kinkead

May 27, 2005